

## REMARKS

### 1. Summary of Office Action

In the Office Action mailed May 6, 2005, the Examiner indicated that the amendment document filed on November 22, 2004 is considered non-compliant with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §1.121 because the added and deleted text of claims 14 and 20 are not clearly marked.

### 2. Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment


M.P.E.P. §714.03 provides that Applicant's reply to a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment should consist of a corrected version of only that portion of the amendment which previously failed to comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.121. Accordingly, Applicant has provided a complete listing of the claims pending in the application. Claims 3, 11, 13-14, 17 and 20 have been amended to clearly mark the added and/or deleted text from each of these claims.

Claims 8 and 14 were amended to correct a typographical error. In particular, claims 8 and 14 were amended to include the word "to" in between the words "analog" and "digital converter" as recited in original claims 8 and 14 and omitted from the list of amended claims filed on November 22, 2004.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, and the remarks filed on November 22, 2004, Applicant submits that claims 1-20 now meet the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §1.121 and are now in a condition for allowance, and respectfully request favorable reconsideration and allowance of the claims. If the Examiner would like to discuss this case, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned at 312-913-0001.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 5/23/05

By:   
Brian R. Harris  
Reg. No. 45,900